

**ASHFIELD DISTRICT COUNCIL**



Council Offices,  
Urban Road,  
Kirkby in Ashfield  
Nottingham  
NG17 8DA

## Agenda

### Scrutiny Panel B

Date: **Tuesday, 10th March, 2020**

---

Time: **7.00 pm**

---

Venue: **Committee Room, Council Offices, Urban Road,  
Kirkby-in-Ashfield**

---

For any further information please contact:

**Lynn Cain**

[l.cain@ashfield.gov.uk](mailto:l.cain@ashfield.gov.uk)

01623 457317

---

# SCRUTINY PANEL B

## Membership

**Chairman:** Councillor Christian Chapman

**Vice-Chairman:** Councillor David Hennigan

**Councillors:**

Jim Blagden

Dale Grounds

David Walters

Tony Brewer

Phil Rostance

## FILMING/AUDIO RECORDING NOTICE

This meeting may be subject to filming or audio recording. If you have any queries regarding this, please contact Members' Services on 01623 457317.

## SUMMONS

You are hereby requested to attend a meeting of the Scrutiny Panel B to be held at the time/place and on the date mentioned above for the purpose of transacting the business set out below.



**Carol Cooper-Smith**  
**Chief Executive**

## **AGENDA**

**Page**

1. To receive apologies for absence, if any.
2. **Declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary or Personal Interests and Non Disclosable Pecuniary/Other Interests.**
3. To receive the minutes of the meeting of the Panel held on 30 January 2020. 5 - 10
4. **Scrutiny Review: Community Protection Service.** 11 - 22

This page is intentionally left blank

## SCRUTINY PANEL B

Meeting held in the Committee Room, Council Offices, Urban Road, Kirkby-in-Ashfield,

on Thursday, 30th January, 2020 at 7.00 pm

**Present:** Councillor Christian Chapman in the Chair;

Councillors Tony Brewer, Dale Grounds,  
Phil Rostance, David Walters and  
Caroline Wilkinson.

**Apology for Absence:** Councillor Rachel Madden.

**Officers Present:** Lynn Cain, Theresa Hodgkinson, Mike Joy,  
Simon Scales, Rebecca Whitehead and  
Shane Wright.

**In Attendance:** Councillor Jason Zadrozny.

### **SB.10 Declarations of Disclosable Pecuniary or Personal Interests and Non Disclosable Pecuniary/Other Interests**

No declarations of interest were made.

### **SB.11 Minutes**

RESOLVED

that the minutes of the meeting of the Panel held on 7<sup>th</sup> November, 2019, be received and approved as a correct record.

### **SB.12 Scrutiny Review: Community Protection Officer Service**

The Chairman introduced the item to the Panel and welcomed the Council's Director of Place and Communities, Service Manager for Community Safety and Community Protection Team Leader to the meeting.

The Service Manager, Scrutiny and Democratic Services advised Members that the review into the Council's Community Protection Service had been added to the Workplan by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee in July 2019. The purpose for the evening was for the Panel to determine the objectives for the review and to establish the potential indicators of success for the service including perceptions from both officers and members of the public.

The Service Manager for Community Safety and the Community Protection Team Leader undertook a presentation to give a sense of background to the service including its current structure and workload.

The Panel were shown a diagram of the current Community Safety staffing structure, which included a variety of officers reporting to the ASB and Nuisance Team Manager, the Community Safety and Strategic Partnerships Officer and the Complex Case Team Leader.

The Community Protection Service was originally launched in July 2009 with a service review being undertaken in 2014. A further review during 2016/17 focussed on case management and prevention with the Complex Case team joining the service in March 2017.

The Community Protection Team Leader outlined to the Panel the definitions of anti-social behaviour and its coverage of a wide range of unacceptable behaviour that caused harm to an individual, their community or their local environment.

Examples of anti-social behaviour (which often crossed over into crime related activity) were outlined as follows:-

- Nuisance, rowdy or inconsiderate neighbours
- Vandalism, graffiti and fly posting
- Street drinking
- Environmental damage including littering, dumping of rubbish and abandonment of cars
- Prostitution related activity
- Begging and vagrancy
- Fireworks misuse
- Inconsiderate or inappropriate use of vehicles.

It was acknowledged that successfully tackling anti-social behaviour came about through multi-partner initiatives rather than different agencies working in silo with no effective exchanges of information. Often anti-social behaviour being exhibited by individuals would escalate over time and trying to manage the early triggers (i.e. substance abuse) would often mitigate against any more serious offending in the future and prove more effective in reducing unacceptable behaviours.

The Integrated Hub, situated within the Council offices, had proved to be successful with many different agencies sharing responsibilities to work directly and indirectly to tackle anti-social behaviour and support vulnerable individuals, namely,

- Nottinghamshire Police (Neighbourhoods, Response, CID)
- Change, Grow, Live (Substance Misuse)
- Department of Work and Pensions (DWP)
- Community Rehabilitation Company Probation
- Fire & Rescue
- Youth Offending Teams
- Adult and Children's Social Care
- JUNO Women's Aid
- Children's Society
- Catch 22 (a victim support service).

In relation to the Community Protection Service, 10 uniformed officers plus the Team Leader worked 7 days a week on 8am to 10pm shifts. The team used 3 dedicated vehicles, airwave radio (accessing the Police operational channel) and body worn cameras. The Community Protection Officers had some accredited powers and were Police vetted on commencement of their roles.

The Community Protection Team currently provided a varied service seeking to address all forms of public space anti-social behaviour and community safety issues. The service was flexible, responsive and proactive in its approach to the organisation of patrols in and around schools, parks, estates, town centres and hotspot areas.

Types of activity included:

- problem solving issues
- tackling on-street ASB, including using tools and powers
- gathering intelligence and providing evidence and attending court
- making referrals and working with partner agencies
- safeguarding vulnerable members of the public
- community engagement
- supporting events
- dealing with abandoned vehicles
- substance misuse, alcohol related disorders and underage drinking.

The Council were currently using E-cins to record case information but there were identified gaps in the reliability of the system and far too much information was currently being recorded and shared via email. Spreadsheets were also being utilised to record outputs but potential investment in an enhanced system such as 'Whitespace' could future-proof the team's ability to manage their caseload more efficiently.

To conclude the presentation, Members were asked to consider what positive activity and success might look like for the service. Would the Council be better to continue undertaking a wide variety of activities to go the extra mile for Ashfield and its communities, or focus on fewer activities but deliver these services at a more complex level?

Often it was challenging to ensure that the 10 Community Protection Officers adequately serviced the entirety of the Ashfield District and if future decision-making took action to reduce their roles, who would pick up the activities that had been eliminated from their duties?

The Council's latest Corporate Plan objectives continued to target the reduction of crime and anti-social behaviour as a priority with a requirement to work with partners to ensure people continued to feel safe within their homes and communities. Members acknowledged and welcomed this continuing stance.

To enable the Panel to set parameters for the review, a debate took place and Members discussed the following:-

- compliments to the current Community Protection Officers and the recently appointed Team Leader for the excellent work they continually undertake to protect Ashfield and its residents;
- the methods utilised for managing officer shift patterns;
- the current difficulties in providing accurate data for monitoring performance of the service due to the breadth of activities being undertaken by officers and the disparity between actual and perceived duties for the role;
- the categories of data that the Council and Members might request to enable analysis of the service and its perceived levels of success;
- the importance of exploring the possibility of procuring the 'Whitespace' system to enhance case management and recording;
- a typical working week for a Community Protection Officer;
- the importance of allowing Community Protection Officers to adapt to their changing workloads and utilise their local knowledge, to allow for appropriate responses to emerging situations/emergencies;
- the current working relationship (and information sharing) between the Police and the Community Protection team and its ability to ensure the correct response is given to differing levels of crime;
- the public's perception of the Community Protection Officer role and their impact on safety within local communities;
- the benefits of talking to a Community Protection Officer as part of the review process to ascertain their perspective on the current duties that they undertake;
- the benefits of the use of body worn cameras and their contribution towards evidence gathering.

Following the discussion, the Scrutiny Research Officer suggested that the Panel could consider undertaking some form of public consultation as part of the review to ascertain resident's views/perceptions in relation to the service. This consultation could be facilitated across all media platforms but caution would need to be exercised that the questions posed were targeted appropriately to ensure the capture of pertinent information to inform the review.

To conclude, the Service Manager, Scrutiny and Democratic Services thanked all present at the meeting for their contribution to the discussions and took the Panel through the proposed terms of reference for the review.

#### RESOLVED

that the terms of reference for the 'Community Protection Officer Service' review be agreed as follows:-



### Review Objectives

The objectives of the review will be to:

- Gain an understanding of the current Community Protection Service, and how it operates within the wider Community Safety section;
- Establish the objectives and priorities of the service;
- Examine outcomes and achievements;
- Review procedures and systems in place to measure the efficiency and effectiveness of the service;
- Understand public, partners and stakeholder expectations of the service;

### Indicators of Success

- Establishing clear service objectives that are fit for purpose;
- Identifying effective mechanisms to monitor performance and outcomes;
- Clear evidence of effective collaborative working within the Integrated Services Hub;
- Understanding public expectations and current perspectives of the service;
- Ensuring the service provides value for money;
- Ensuring adequate resources are in place for the service to operate and communicate efficiently;

### Methodology

The review to be carried out through consideration of both qualitative and quantitative research:

- Interviewing Community Protection Officers, Police representatives and Council Officers;
- Public consultation;
- Analysis of statistical information regarding the service;
- Consultation with partner agencies;
- Best practice from other authorities, systems used, powers given etc;
- Facilitation of informal working groups with Members, officers and expert witnesses as required;

### Review Involvement

To seek involvement from the following representatives over the course of the review:

- Director – Place and Communities;
- Service Manager – Community Safety;
- Community Protection Team Leader;
- Community Protection Officers;
- Representatives from partner agencies and stakeholders.

The meeting closed at 8.30 pm

Chairman.

This page is intentionally left blank

<b>Report To:</b>	<b>SCRUTINY PANEL B</b>	<b>Date:</b>	<b>10 MARCH 2020</b>
<b>Heading:</b>	<b>SCRUTINY REVIEW: COMMUNITY PROTECTION SERVICE</b>		
<b>Portfolio Holder:</b>	<b>NOT APPLICABLE</b>		
<b>Ward/s:</b>	<b>ALL</b>		
<b>Key Decision:</b>	<b>NO</b>		
<b>Subject to Call-In:</b>	<b>NO</b>		

## **Purpose of Report**

The purpose of this report is to present Scrutiny Panel B with further information regarding the ongoing review of Ashfield District Council's Community Protection Service. Scrutiny Panel B Members have previously considered an introductory report on the topic, and held an initial Panel meeting in January 2020.

This report presents further information on the Community Partnership Strategic Plan, Nottinghamshire Police crime figures for Ashfield, areas of interest previously identified, and information regarding the previous scrutiny review of "Neighbourhood Wardens". It also provides Members with supporting information for the requested discussions with Community Protection Officers.

## **Recommendation(s)**

Scrutiny Panel B Members are recommended to:

- a. Note the information contained in this report.
- b. Consider appropriate questions for Community Protection Officers.
- c. Consider further information required to progress the review.

## **Reasons for Recommendation(s)**

Ashfield District Council's Community Protection Service was added as a topic for review to the Scrutiny Workplan 2019/20 by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee in July 2019.

## **Alternative Options Considered**

No alternative options have been considered at this stage of the scrutiny review process.

## Detailed Information

### **Review Terms of Reference**

During the initial meeting of Scrutiny Panel B on this review, Members approved a terms of reference, setting out the scope of the review.

### Review Rationale

The Council's Community Protection Service was added to the Scrutiny Workplan 2019/20 in July 2019 by Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Members wished to consider the objectives and remit of the service, how it works with partners to achieve objectives, and to ensure that it remains fit for purpose while providing value for money.

### Review Objectives

The objectives of this review will be to:

- Gain an understanding of the current Community Protection Service, and how it operates within the wider Community Safety section
- Establish the objectives and requirements of the service
- Examine outcomes and achievements
- Review procedures in place to measure the efficiency and effectiveness of the service
- Understand public expectation of the service

### Indicators of Success

- Establishing clear service objectives that are fit for purpose
- Identifying effective mechanisms to monitor performance and outcomes
- Clear evidence of effective collaborative working within the Integrated Services Hub
- Understanding public expectations and current perspectives of the service
- Ensuring the service provides value for money
- Ensuring adequate resources are in place for the service to operate efficiently

### Methodology

This review will be carried out through consideration of both qualitative and quantitative research.

- Interviewing Community Protection Officers, Police representatives and Council Officers
- Public consultation
- Statistical information regarding the service
- Consultation with partner agencies
- Best practice from other authorities, systems used, powers given etc.

### Review Involvement

It is important for a scrutiny review to be a collaborative process, inviting involvement from experts both within the Council and externally. Members could seek involvement from the following over the course of this review:

- Director – Place and Communities
- Service Manager – Community Safety

- Community Protection Team Leader
- Community Protection Officers
- Wider Community Safety Team Members
- Representatives from partner agencies and stakeholders

## **Ashfield Community Partnership Strategic Plan**

The Ashfield Community Partnership Strategic Plan is a strategy setting out how various agencies in Ashfield will work together to address crime and disorder issues and improve quality of life for residents. The Crime and Disorder Act 1998 requires the Council to develop a community safety partnership and an associated strategic plan. The authorities specified in the Ashfield Community Partnership are:

- Ashfield District Council
- Nottinghamshire Police
- Nottinghamshire Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner
- Nottinghamshire County Council
- Nottinghamshire Fire & Rescue Authority
- Ashfield and Mansfield Clinical Commissioning Group
- Nottinghamshire Probation Trust
- The Derbyshire, Leicestershire, Nottinghamshire, and Rutland Community Rehabilitation

The Ashfield Community Partnership Strategic Plan 2019 – 2022 identified five following areas as priorities:

### Anti-social Behaviour

Aims:

- Increased identification and active targeting of offenders and hot spot locations
- Improved provision and promotion of support to victims and witnesses
- Increased community empowerment
- Increased positive diversionary activity
- Improved management of perceptions
- Ensuring people know how to report anti-social behaviour

Indicators of success:

- A downward trend in anti-social behaviour incidents
- Communities and residents are safer and feel safer
- Improved public perception of anti-social behaviour and connected issues
- Reduction in the number of repeat anti-social behaviour victims year on year

### Protecting Vulnerable People

Aims:

- Increased identification and support for vulnerable residents and victims
- Improved early help support mechanisms
- Improved multi-agency awareness and prevention programmes
- Increased proactive response to emerging and high-risk vulnerability concerns
- Improved early intervention and community based assistance
- Enhanced awareness of violent extremism and hate crime

Indicators of success:

- Communities and residents are safer and feel safer
- Increased perception and understanding of cybercrime, radicalisation, child sexual exploitation, modern day slavery, and hate crime
- Increased confidence in agencies to encourage reporting

### Domestic Abuse

Aims:

- Improved challenge of underlying attitudes and behaviours
- Develop early identification and intervention support
- Increased support and risk reduction for high-risk victims of domestic abuse
- Improved partnership working to ensure appropriate actions around perpetrators
- Improved work with other partners to obtain the best outcomes for those affected by domestic abuse and their families

Indicators of success:

- Reduction in the number of repeat domestic abuse victims year on year
- Increased confidence in agencies to encourage reporting of domestic abuse

### Violence

Aims:

- Increased proactive response to violence associated with night-time economy disorder
- Increased identification and support for young people involved with violent crime
- Increased identification and targeting of offenders who are exploiting vulnerable groups
- Enhanced awareness of violent extremism and hate crime
- Improved support for victims of violent crime
- Improved targeting of prolific and repeat violent crime offenders

Indicators of success:

- A downward trend in violent crime incidents
- Communities and residents are safer and feel safer

### Integrated Working

Aims:

- To further develop and improve partnership working across the Ashfield District

Indicators of success:

- An expanded and more inclusive integrated hub
- Increased public satisfaction in agencies across the Ashfield District

Scrutiny Panel B Members should consider the Ashfield Community Partnership Strategic Plan and how the Community Protection Service aligns with it. The full Ashfield Community Partnership Strategic Plan 2019 – 2022 can be viewed through the Council's website.

## Crime Figures in Ashfield

Members of the Panel requested a breakdown of the latest crime figures to gain an understanding of the most common types of crime recorded in Ashfield. Various crime figures and statistics for areas in Ashfield are documented online by Nottinghamshire Police. Nottinghamshire Police separates Ashfield into four distinct neighbourhoods; Ashfield Rural, Hucknall, Kirkby-in-Ashfield, and Sutton-in-Ashfield.

The crime types recorded by Nottinghamshire Police include:

- Anti-social behaviour
- Bicycle theft
- Burglary
- Criminal damage and arson
- Drugs
- Other crime
- Other theft
- Possession of weapons
- Public order
- Robbery
- Shoplifting
- Theft from the person
- Vehicle crime
- Violence and sexual offences

### Ashfield Rural

Crime figures in Ashfield Rural from January to December 2019, as recorded by Nottinghamshire Police:

CRIME TYPE	TOTAL	PERCENTAGE
<b>ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR</b>	264	18.67%
<b>BICYCLE THEFT</b>	0	0.00%
<b>BURGLARY</b>	109	7.70%
<b>CRIMINAL DAMAGE AND ARSON</b>	137	9.68%
<b>DRUGS</b>	22	1.55%
<b>OTHER CRIME</b>	35	2.47%
<b>OTHER THEFT</b>	112	7.92%
<b>POSSESSION OF WEAPONS</b>	11	0.77%
<b>PUBLIC ORDER</b>	70	4.95%
<b>ROBBERY</b>	7	0.49%
<b>SHOPLIFTING</b>	67	4.73%
<b>THEFT FROM THE PERSON</b>	4	0.28%
<b>VEHICLE CRIME</b>	81	5.73%
<b>VIOLENCE AND SEXUAL OFFENCES</b>	495	35.00%

## Hucknall

Crime figures in Hucknall from January to December 2019, as recorded by Nottinghamshire Police:

CRIME TYPE	TOTAL	PERCENTAGE
<b>ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR</b>	912	23.38%
<b>BICYCLE THEFT</b>	40	1.03%
<b>BURGLARY</b>	176	4.51%
<b>CRIMINAL DAMAGE AND ARSON</b>	374	9.59%
<b>DRUGS</b>	86	2.20%
<b>OTHER CRIME</b>	94	2.41%
<b>OTHER THEFT</b>	191	4.90%
<b>POSSESSION OF WEAPONS</b>	23	0.59%
<b>PUBLIC ORDER</b>	235	6.02%
<b>ROBBERY</b>	44	1.13%
<b>SHOPLIFTING</b>	390	10.00%
<b>THEFT FROM THE PERSON</b>	18	0.46%
<b>VEHICLE CRIME</b>	189	4.84%
<b>VIOLENCE AND SEXUAL OFFENCES</b>	1129	28.94%

## Kirkby-in-Ashfield

Crime figures in Kirkby-in-Ashfield from January to December 2019, as recorded by Nottinghamshire Police:

CRIME TYPE	TOTAL	PERCENTAGE
<b>ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR</b>	481	19.57%
<b>BICYCLE THEFT</b>	18	0.73%
<b>BURGLARY</b>	136	5.53%
<b>CRIMINAL DAMAGE AND ARSON</b>	300	12.21%
<b>DRUGS</b>	44	1.79%
<b>OTHER CRIME</b>	62	2.52%
<b>OTHER THEFT</b>	117	4.76%
<b>POSSESSION OF WEAPONS</b>	26	1.06%
<b>PUBLIC ORDER</b>	136	5.53%
<b>ROBBERY</b>	23	0.94%
<b>SHOPLIFTING</b>	181	7.36%
<b>THEFT FROM THE PERSON</b>	9	0.37%
<b>VEHICLE CRIME</b>	120	4.88%
<b>VIOLENCE AND SEXUAL OFFENCES</b>	805	32.75%



## Sutton-in-Ashfield

Crime figures in Sutton-in-Ashfield from January to December 2019, as recorded by Nottinghamshire Police:

CRIME TYPE	TOTAL	PERCENTAGE
<b>ANTI-SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR</b>	1451	21.05%
<b>BICYCLE THEFT</b>	43	0.62%
<b>BURGLARY</b>	414	6.01%
<b>CRIMINAL DAMAGE AND ARSON</b>	718	10.41%
<b>DRUGS</b>	181	2.63%
<b>OTHER CRIME</b>	128	1.86%
<b>OTHER THEFT</b>	425	6.16%
<b>POSSESSION OF WEAPONS</b>	67	0.97%
<b>PUBLIC ORDER</b>	408	5.92%
<b>ROBBERY</b>	83	1.20%
<b>SHOPLIFTING</b>	694	10.07%
<b>THEFT FROM THE PERSON</b>	36	0.52%
<b>VEHICLE CRIME</b>	304	4.41%
<b>VIOLENCE AND SEXUAL OFFENCES</b>	1942	28.17%

Scrutiny Panel B Members should consider these crime figures, and how the Council's Community Protection Service works with partners to address these listed crimes.

### **Areas of Interest Identified**

At the initial meeting of Scrutiny Panel B reviewing this topic, Members, in collaboration with Officers, identified the following areas of interest, to be explored as part of the scrutiny review process.

#### Understanding Public Expectations and Perceptions

At the first meeting of the Panel, Members discussed the need to ensure that the public and partners had clarity on the aims of the service and the role of a Community Protection Officer within the community.

#### Monitoring Performance

Managing and monitoring performance is a key aspect of understanding how the service is meeting its objectives. Members were informed that the Council were currently using E-cins to record case information but there were identified gaps in the extraction of data within the system and far too much information was currently being recorded and shared via email.

As part of the initial discussion, Members were informed that a system called 'Whitespace' was currently being explored to assess its suitability to record CPO activity. As part of the review, the Panel agreed that the current method (E-cins & email) was not an effective method to manage demands and monitor performance. Members discussed the possibility of gaining a demonstration of the system to ascertain its value for the section.

## Priorities of the Service

As an introduction to the review, Members received a presentation from the Service Manager, setting out the aims of the service, which were identified as undertaking a problem solving approach to address on-street anti-social behaviour, environmental and nuisance related issues, which affect the quality of life for residents in Ashfield.

Community Protection Officers provide a uniformed patrol presence within Ashfield's town centres and communities, spending time in communities conducting high visibility patrols, engaging with residents, visitors, and businesses, and proactively challenging perpetrators of anti-social behaviour. Furthermore, they also carry out "safe and well" checks to vulnerable members of the community.

## Work Planning (Proactive/Reactive)

Members agreed that ensuring there was a consistent approach to understanding the main priorities of the service and ensuring that work planning was proactive in addition to being reactive to issues of need was essential including identifying hotspots, in collaboration with our partners, and planning work around this information.

Members also recognised that a service specific system to manage demands would assist both the Community Protection Officers and the service.

## Partnership Working

Partnership working is intrinsic to the Community Protection Service with the integrated services hub and the Ashfield Community Partnership. Members previously discussed the importance of ensuring all within the partnership are working collaboratively with adequate effort and input from all agencies.

## Public Consultation

Members identified the importance of ascertaining and managing public perception of the Community Protection Service, as a key discretionary service. This could be achieved through exploring an appropriate public consultation exercise involving residents and businesses in Ashfield.

Members should consider the form any public consultation should take, and any specific demographics within Ashfield that should be consulted.

## **Previous Scrutiny Review of Neighbourhood Wardens (2009)**

In 2009, Scrutiny Panel A undertook a comprehensive review of the Neighbourhood Warden Service, at the time co-ordinated by Ashfield Homes. Members considered a wide variety of information regarding the role of Neighbourhood Wardens including impact in the community, measures of success, and types of incidents attended.

Many of the discussions of the Panel at the time in relation to the Neighbourhood Warden Service are still relevant to the present review of the Community Protection Service. Whilst the Community Protection Service has evolved into a multi-partnership service, Community Protection Officers still face the same challenges, albeit that some of the social issues within the community have changed.

In 2008/09, anti-social behaviour accounted for the highest number of incident types attended by the then Neighbourhood Wardens. In 2019, as detailed in this report, apart from violence and sexual offences, anti-social behaviour remains a key area of concern in relation to community safety and one of the main areas of focus for Community Protection Officers.

Monitoring performance and establishing a credible methodology for measuring the success of the then Neighbourhood Warden Service was also considered a priority for the Panel. In 2009, the service measured and monitored the number and type of incidents attended. However, there was no analysis of how the service contributed to activities that resulted in criminal prosecutions, ASB orders etc. This was an area of performance management that the Panel wanted to see improved.

Furthermore, analysis of hotspot areas before and after Neighbourhood Warden interventions was also not readily available at the time of the previous review, resulting in it being difficult to assess the performance of the service and the impact that it was having in the community.

Public consultation on community satisfaction with the Neighbourhood Warden Service was undertaken through an annual survey of 10 questions delivered through both a combined postal and face-to-face methodology.

Examples of questions included in this survey:

- What impact do you think the service has had on your estate?
- How easy is it to contact the service?
- Do you feel the number of incidents of crime and ASB on your estate has decreased?
- How often do you see Neighbourhood Wardens on your estate?

Overall, the previous review, whilst undertaken in different circumstances, highlighted the importance of the then service to the community but identified areas for improvement including:

- Improvements required to measuring success
- Clarity required regarding the aims of the service
- Defining impact and role of the warden
- Work planning (patrol plans)
- Educational and preventative exercises
- Improving visibility

All of these areas for improvement raised in 2009 are still relevant for the current review of the Community Protection Service, albeit some of the types of anti-social behaviour have changed requiring clarification on the aims and role of the service.

## **Implications**

### **Corporate Plan:**

Ashfield District Council's vision for a safer and stronger Ashfield by 2023 is set out within the new Corporate Plan 2019 – 2023. This includes ensuring the foundations for a good quality of life are in place and reducing crime and anti-social behaviour.

This is aimed to be achieved through prioritising both an effective response to issues but also working on prevention and behavioural change. To achieve this, the Council will continue working with partners to ensure people feel safe and are safe by reducing levels of crime and disorder and anti-social behaviour.

**Legal:**

There are no direct legal implications resulting from this report. Any legal implications identified over the course of this review will be explored and addressed appropriately.

**Finance:**

There are no direct financial implications resulting from this report. Any financial implications identified over the course of this review will be explored and addressed appropriately.

Budget Area	Implication
General Fund – Revenue Budget	None.
General Fund – Capital Programme	None.
Housing Revenue Account – Revenue Budget	None.
Housing Revenue Account – Capital Programme	None.

**Risk:**

Risk	Mitigation
None at this stage of the review.	None at this stage of the review.

**Human Resources:**

There are no direct HR implications resulting from this report. Any HR implications identified over the course of this review will be explored and addressed appropriately.

**Environmental/Sustainability:**

There are no direct environmental or sustainability implications resulting from this report. Any environmental or sustainability implications identified over the course of this review will be explored and addressed appropriately.

**Equalities:**

There are no direct equality implications resulting from this report. Any equality implications identified over the course of this review will be explored and addressed appropriately.

**Other Implications:**

There are no other implications resulting from this report. Any other implications identified over the course of this review will be explored and addressed appropriately.

**Reason(s) for Urgency**

None.

### **Reason(s) for Exemption**

None.

### **Background Papers**

- *Ashfield Community Partnership – Strategic Plan 2019 – 2022*, Cabinet, 24 June 2019.
- *Ashfield Community Partnership – Strategic Plan 2019 – 2022*, June 2019.
- *Crime Map for Ashfield Rural*, Nottinghamshire Police, 2019.
- *Detailed Statistics for Hucknall*, Nottinghamshire Police, 2019.
- *Detailed Statistics for Kirkby – in – Ashfield*, Nottinghamshire Police, 2019.
- *Detailed Statistics for Sutton – in – Ashfield*, Nottinghamshire Police, 2019.

### **Report Author and Contact Officer**

Shane Wright  
Scrutiny Research Officer  
01623 457318  
[s.wright@ashfield.gov.uk](mailto:s.wright@ashfield.gov.uk)

This page is intentionally left blank